



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101-3140

JUL 1 6 2015

7.16.15

OFFICE OF AIR, WASTE AND TOXICS

Ms. Georgia Baxter Chief Executive Officer J.H. Baxter and Company PO Box 5902 San Mateo, California 94402

Re: Comments on Corrective Measures Study, Source Area Investigation and Chemical Oxidation

Bench Study (Study Report) and Call-in for Revised Corrective Measures Study

Former J.H. Baxter & Co., Arlington Facility (Facility)

RCRA Section 7003 Administrative Order on Consent (Order)

Docket No.: RCRA-10-2001-0086 EPA ID No.: WAD 05382 3019

Dear Ms. Baxter:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 has reviewed J.H. Baxter's Source Area Investigation and Chemical Oxidation Bench Study (Study Report). The Study Report is dated December 23, 2014 and was submitted to the EPA on March 18, 2015. The EPA has also reviewed J.H. Baxter's Corrective Measures Study, Revision 3 (CMS), dated April 2013. Comments on the CMS are enclosed. The Study Report and the CMS are required under Paragraph 53 of the above-referenced Order.

The EPA and J.H. Baxter representatives discussed the results of the bench study in a meeting on March 23, 2015 and concluded that a more-effective remedy must be evaluated. For that reason and because chemical oxidation was the preferred alternative presented in the CMS, a revised CMS is required. Pursuant to Paragraph 53 of the Order, the EPA is hereby requiring J.H. Baxter to submit, within 30 days of receipt of this letter, a revised CMS which proposes and evaluates remedial action alternatives in light of the results of the bench study and addresses the enclosed EPA comments on the CMS.

Please contact me at (206) 553-6702 or at Palumbo.Jan@epa.gov, or have your legal counsel contact Jennifer MacDonald at (206) 553-8311 or MacDonald.Jennifer@epa.gov, if you have any questions.

Sincerely.

Jan Palumbo

Project Coordinator

Enclosure

ILE COPY



Mr James C. Hanken Wolfstone, Panchot & Bloch

> Mr. Edward C. Smith McFarland Cascade Holdings Inc. Stella-Jones Corp.

Ms. Heidi Blischke GSI Water Solutions, Inc. Ms. Rue Ann Thomas Nattura Group

Mr. Dean Yasuda Washington State Department of Ecology

	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	Andrew Control of the
1.	 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: 	A. Signature Agent Addressee B. Beceived by (Printed Name) Date of Delivery 31/5 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below:	EGION 10 -Y - REVISION 3 ING FACILITY
Separate Sep	gia Baxter ecutive Officer er and Company 5902 o, CA 94402	3. Service Type ☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Priority Mail Express™ ☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise	yms.
		☐ Insured Mail ☐ Collect on Delivery 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes	at the cleanup levels for ivities. It is unclear why
The same of	7014 1200 0001 4320 7745		rup levels should be based or
and the same	PS Form 3811, July 2013 Domestic Retu	urn Receipt	h mentions ERH. ERH does
-	not seem to be a part of	Alternative 6. Make the appropriate corre	ection.

4. Section 9.3, Parcel B, p. 70. This section states that there is only one location of soil contamination above cleanup levels, in shallow soils, and that corrective action is not appropriate. Provide a basis for why corrective action is not appropriate.

5. Section 10.1, pp 72 – 75. This section refers to "total fluids recovery" and "total fluids system" but these terms are not defined. It is unclear whether or not it includes pumping of groundwater.

Define and clearly describe the "total fluids system."

6. Section 10.1.3, Environmental Criteria, p. 75. This section states that "Alternative 1 is[sic] rapidly provides hydraulic containment near the source area, aggressively recovers LNAPL, and provides enhanced bioremediation for the downgradient plume." It is unclear from the preceding description of this alternative how these objectives will be met. Provide details of this alternative.

7. Section 10.5.1.1, p. 89, third paragraph. This paragraph states that "By inhibiting highconcentration COCs to migrate downgradient and using total fluids recovery to accelerate contaminant removal rates, this alternative is expected to result in the contraction of the groundwater plume's leading edge." It is unclear what is meant by this statement. Clarify.

8. Table 3-2 (see also comment 2 above). This table presents proposed cleanup levels for soil. It shows different cleanup levels for Parcel A and Parcel B, and for most constituents the cleanup levels for Parcel B are much higher than for Parcel A. Yet Parcel A is where the highest concentrations and amounts of soil contamination are located. It is not clear why the Parcel A cleanup levels are not also used for Parcel B. Clarify.

9. Table 4-2 (see also comment 4 above). This table shows an exceedance of the cleanup levels for RRO at SB-57. Provide a justification for not providing a remedy for this exceedance.

10. Table 11-2. Two alternatives, 1 and 2, are ranked 5, although they have different combined scores. If this was intentional, provide a clarification.

11. Figure F-21. It is difficult to match up the colors of the shading with the concentrations. The concentrations in wells do not seem to be shaded with the appropriate colors in the figure, particularly in the area of MW-15, 16, 17, 18, 37, 39, 41 and 42. For example, some wells are labeled "nd" but are in areas shaded to indicate detected contamination. Clarify or correct the figure.

	The second second					
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY					
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: 	A. Signature Agent Addressee B. Becetved by (Printed Nafie) C. Date of Delivery 33/5 V. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below:					
gia Baxter ecutive Officer er and Company 5902 o, CA 94402	3. Service Type ☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Priority Mail Express™ ☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Collect on Delivery					
	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes					
7014 1200 0001 4320 7745						
PS Form 3811, July 2013 Domestic Return Receipt						

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

23 11 115

PM 5 L



First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid USPS Permit No. G-10

Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box

Received

Ms. Jan Paumbo
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Suite 900, MS-OAWT-150
Seattle, WA 98101

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10 COMMMENTS ON CORRECTIVE MEASURES STUDY – REVISION 3 FORMER J.H. BAXTER & COMPANY WOOD TREATING FACILITY ARLINGTON, WASHINGTON July 16, 2015

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Acronyms and Abbreviations, p. vii. Add ERH to the list of acronyms.

 Section 3.2, Proposed Cleanup Levels, p. 16. This section states that the cleanup levels for Parcels A and B are different based on differences in historical activities. It is unclear why historical differences would lead to different cleanup levels. Cleanup levels should be based on current and potential future use. Clarify.

3. Section 9.2.6, Alternative 6, p. 69, third paragraph. This paragraph mentions ERH. ERH does

not seem to be a part of Alternative 6. Make the appropriate correction.

4. Section 9.3, Parcel B, p. 70. This section states that there is only one location of soil contamination above cleanup levels, in shallow soils, and that corrective action is not appropriate. Provide a basis for why corrective action is not appropriate.

5. Section 10.1, pp 72 – 75. This section refers to "total fluids recovery" and "total fluids system" but these terms are not defined. It is unclear whether or not it includes pumping of groundwater.

Define and clearly describe the "total fluids system."

- 6. Section 10.1.3, Environmental Criteria, p. 75. This section states that "Alternative 1 is[sic] rapidly provides hydraulic containment near the source area, aggressively recovers LNAPL, and provides enhanced bioremediation for the downgradient plume." It is unclear from the preceding description of this alternative how these objectives will be met. Provide details of this alternative.
- 7. Section 10.5.1.1, p. 89, third paragraph. This paragraph states that "By inhibiting high-concentration COCs to migrate downgradient and using total fluids recovery to accelerate contaminant removal rates, this alternative is expected to result in the contraction of the groundwater plume's leading edge." It is unclear what is meant by this statement. Clarify.
- 8. Table 3-2 (see also comment 2 above). This table presents proposed cleanup levels for soil. It shows different cleanup levels for Parcel A and Parcel B, and for most constituents the cleanup levels for Parcel B are much higher than for Parcel A. Yet Parcel A is where the highest concentrations and amounts of soil contamination are located. It is not clear why the Parcel A cleanup levels are not also used for Parcel B. Clarify.
- 9. Table 4-2 (see also comment 4 above). This table shows an exceedance of the cleanup levels for RRO at SB-57. Provide a justification for not providing a remedy for this exceedance.
- 10. Table 11-2. Two alternatives, 1 and 2, are ranked 5, although they have different combined scores. If this was intentional, provide a clarification.
- 11. Figure F-21. It is difficult to match up the colors of the shading with the concentrations. The concentrations in wells do not seem to be shaded with the appropriate colors in the figure, particularly in the area of MW-15, 16, 17, 18, 37, 39, 41 and 42. For example, some wells are labeled "nd" but are in areas shaded to indicate detected contamination. Clarify or correct the figure.

Region 10 Routing and Concurrence

Author:	ımbo						Date:	: 7/	7/14/15					
Addressee:		Georgia	Baxte	r										
Subject:	Revise	d CMS												
File Location/N	Name	: AK 3019) ff10c	:	Wil .							-		
PROGRAM ADN	VIIN R	REVIEW:												
Name: MCLEOD PALUM			MBO MACDONALD FUENT		LA /		POLICY	Y FILE		CRAINFO				
The state of the s		M			MK		YES/NO		Y	YES/NO				
Initials/Date:	7	16/21/	7/1	4/15	45m	1/10	1/15	7/	14/	15				
PROGRAM OFF	ICE C	ONCURRENCE	:					,	1					
Name: BLAN		BLANKENSHIP	NKENSHIP KNITTEL		EL CASTRILLI		VALDEZ		Н	EDEEN	١			
Initials/Date:						v i	3.00							
RA OFFICE CON	CURF	RENCE/SIGNA	TURE:		-									
Name:					T									
Initials/Date:													+	
cc(s) (include nam	l ne, title	e, organization, m	nailing a	ddress, and	d email if P	DF is re	equire	d—atta	ch a list	if neces	sary)			
James C Hanken Wolfstone, Panchot & Bloch 1111 Third Ave., Suite 1800 Seattle WA 98101 Edward C. Smith Senior Environmental Manager McFarland Cascade Holdings Inc. P.O. Box 1496 Tacoma, WA 98401-1496				Ms. Heidi Blischke GSI Water Solutions, Inc. 55 SW Yamhill St., Suite 300 Portland, OR 97204					Ms. RueAnn Thomas Environmental Programs Direct J.H. Baxter & Co. P.O. Box 10797 Eugene, OR 97440-2797					
								Mr. Dean Yasuda Washington Department of Ecology 3190 160th Ave SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452						
bcc(s) (include na	me, tit	le, organization,	mailing					red—att	tach a li	st if nec	essary)			
				J. Palum	nbo (AW	T-121)							
Mailing Deadline: 7/17/15							Cert	Certified Mail: orig			al on	ly		
FAX to:									FAX	#:				1
ADDITIONAL INI Please send me Thanks.			achme	nt when y	ou mail o	out and	l wil	l email	to the	facility		~		
Filing Instruction	ns:	WAD 05382 3	3019	FF 10C										
Program				Chr	ono.			1		Other				